

## The many facets of transitional millisecond pulsars: can accretion- and rotationpowered states coexist?



In collaboration with A. Papitto, F. Ambrosino, A. Miraval Zanon, F. Coti Zelati and many more

HONEST3 Workshop 26/11/2024





#### Accretion-powered (X-ray) ms pulsar

Matter lost by a companion star channeled by the pulsar's magnetic field  $\rightarrow$  X-ray emitting hotspots/columns

#### **Rotation-powered (radio) ms pulsar**

Rotation of the electromagnetic field → Magnetospheric particle acceleration

- $\rightarrow$  Radio/Gamma-ray pulses
- $\rightarrow$  Relativistic pulsar wind



# The "missing link"



Rotation-powered (radio) state





Accretion-powered (X-ray) state



[see Stella+ 1994; Campana+ 1998; Burderi+ 2001]

[Credit to NASA]

# **Transitional millisecond pulsars**



# **Transitional millisecond pulsars**



[Courtesy of A. Papitto]

[Papitto+ 2013, Nature; see Papitto & de Martino 2022, ASSL for a review]

# An accreting millisecond pulsar shining in gamma rays?



A rotation-powered pulsar active during quiescence (i.e., another transitional MSP)?

# The sub-luminous disk state



[Courtesy of A. Papitto]

[see Papitto & de Martino 2022, ASSL for a review]

# $\gamma$ -ray emission of tMSPs

J1023+0038 from radio MSP to sub-luminous disk state  $\rightarrow$  0.1-300 GeV flux: >6x increase J1227-4859 from sub-luminous disk state to radio MSP  $\rightarrow$  0.1-300 GeV flux: ~2x decrease



[see also Stappers+ 2014; Takata+ 2014; Deller+ 2015; Johnson+ 2015; Xing & Wang 2015] [see Torres & Li 2022, ASSL for a review]

## Fermi-LAT spectra of tMSPs

In the **sub-luminous disk state**, power law + exponential cutoff:

J1023+0038:  $\Gamma = 2.0 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1$ ,  $E_{cut} = 3.7 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.9$  GeV (~4.3 $\sigma$ ) J1227-4859:  $\Gamma = 2.3 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1$ ,  $E_{cut} = 10.8 \pm 3.7 \pm 5.6$  GeV (~3.7 $\sigma$ )



# X-ray variability



Transition on timescale of  $\sim 10$  s between:

- High modes ( $L_X \sim 7 \times 10^{33} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ ) Low modes ( $L_X \sim 10^{33} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ ) •



[see also, e.g., Papitto+ 2013, Linares+ 2014]

# **Other wavelengths**

• Optical and UV variability resembling X-ray high and low modes



[Shahbaz+ 2015, 2018; Kennedy+ 2018; Papitto+ 2018] [Miraval Zanon+ 2022; see also Jaodand+ 2022, Baglio+ 2023]

• Anti-correlated radio/X-ray variability



# **Discovery of an optical/UV transitional MSP**



[Ambrosino, Papitto+ 2017; Miraval Zanon+ 2022]

# Pulsating in unison at optical, UV and X-ray energies

PSR J1023+0038  $\rightarrow$  Optical, UV and X-ray pulses are produced by the same process



[Ambrosino, Papitto+ 2017; Zampieri+ 2019; Papitto+ 2019; Jaodand+ 2021; Miraval Zanon+ 2022]

# Pulsating in unison at optical, UV and X-ray energies

PSR J1023+0038  $\rightarrow$  Optical, UV and X-ray pulses are produced by the same process



[Ambrosino, Papitto+ 2017; Zampieri+ 2019; Papitto+ 2019; Jaodand+ 2021; Miraval Zanon+ 2022]

# **Unexpected and (too) bright optical pulsations**

Standard emission mechanisms hardly individually explain the observed optical pulsed luminosity



#### **Accretion-powered pulsar**



A pulsar wind heating the accretion disk

Synchrotron radiation from the shock between the striped wind and the accretion disk



Synchrotron → Optical/X-rays Synchrotron Self-Compton → Gamma-rays



Optical, UV and X-ray pulsations from the interaction between the pulsed striped wind and the termination shock



[Cerutti & Beloborodov+ 2017]

[Papitto+ 2019]

Optical, UV and X-ray pulsations from the interaction between the pulsed striped wind and the termination shock



Different synchrotron timescales of optical ( $\sim$ 3 µs) and X-ray photons ( $\sim$ 220 µs) :

$$t_{
m sync} \propto \epsilon^{-1/2} \frac{B_{
m s}^{-3/2}}{\Gamma}$$
  
Photon energy Surface magnetic field

[Papitto+ 2019]

## Five years of optical and X-ray observations



**TNG/SiFAP2** [Credit to G. Tessicini]



**Copernicus/Aqueye+** [Credit to MEDIA INAF]

Telescope/Instrument 2017 May - overlap: 11.0 ks *XMM-Newton*/EPIC TNG/SiFAP2 2018 December - overlap: 10.8 ks *XMM-Newton*/EPIC Copernicus/Aqueve+ 2018 December - no overlap; temporal gap: 41 ks XMM-Newton/EPIC Copernicus/Aqueye+ 2019 January - overlap: 2.3 ks NICER TNG/SiFAP2 2019 February - overlap: 1.1 ks NICER Copernicus/Aqueve+ *2019 June* - overlap: 340 s NICER TNG/SiFAP2 2020 January - overlap: 4.6 ks NICER TNG/SiFAP2 2020 January - overlap: 520 s NICER Copernicus/Aqueye+ 2022 January - overlap: 1.7 ks NICER Copernicus/Aqueye+

[Illiano+ 2023a]



NICER [Credit to NASA]



XMM-Newton [Credit to ESA]

#### Time lags between optical and X-ray pulsations





[Illiano+ 2023a]

# Searching candidates from unidentified Fermi-LAT sources



#### See A. Manca's contribution

[see also, e.g., Torres+ 2017 for a systematic search in the Fermi-LAT data]

# Searching candidates in the sub-luminous disk state



[Bogdanov+ 2015, 2016; Britt+ 2017; Jaodand+ 2021; Gusinskaia+ 2024; Illiano+ in prep.]





#### 4FGL J0407.7-5702, 3FGL J0427.9-6704, Terzan 5 CX10, XMM J174457-2850.3

[Li+ 2020; Miller+ 2020, Strader+ 2021; Bahramian+ 2018; Degenaar+ 2014; Kennedy+ 2020, Deller+ 2014]

#### See C. Rodríguez García's contribution for searching candidates using machine learning techniques

[see also, e.g., Torres+ 2017 for a systematic search in the Fermi-LAT data]

## Multi-wavelength campaign on the candidate 3FGL J1544.6-1125





#### DAY 1

XMM-Newton/EPIC (PI: Miraval Zanon)

HST/STIS  $(\rightarrow \underline{first UV observation of this source ever!})$  (PI: Illiano)

XMM-Newton/OM B-band (PI: Miraval Zanon)

TNG/SiFAP2 (PI: Illiano)

REM (PI: Baglio)

VLA (PI: Miraval Zanon)

#### DAY 2-3-4

NuSTAR (PI: Miraval Zanon)

NICER (PI: Illiano) REM (PI: Baglio) GTC/HiPERCAM (PI: Coti Zelati)







#### AHEAD Visitor program at ICE-CSIC (Barcelona) with the MAGNESIA group

## **GTC/HiPERCAM observation in five different filters**



[Illiano, Coti Zelati+, in prep.]

## **Optical/X-ray emissions from the inner accretion flow**



[Illiano+, in prep; see also Coti Zelati+ 2024]



[Papitto+ 2019; see also Veledina+ 2019]

## **Optical/X-ray emissions from the inner accretion flow**



Optical/X-ray emissions at the shock front between the pulsar wind and the accretion disk

[see also Papitto+ 2019; Veledina+ 2019; Campana+ 2019]



#### **Summary & Open Questions**

- Transitional MSPs in the sub-luminous disk state are bright gamma-ray sources
- Need more confirmed/candidate sources → searches from unidentified Fermi-LAT sources and using machine learning

See A. Manca and C. Rodríguez García's contributions

- Multi-wavelength campaigns to test the model with a rotation-powered pulsar active despite the accretion disk
- Do these transitional MSPs pulse in the gamma rays?
- Do accreting MSPs shine in the gamma rays (→ transition to rotation-powered state during quiescence)?